

PAUL ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
kkastens@kramerlevin.com
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
& FRANKEL LLP
990 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: (650) 752-1700
Facsimile: (650) 752-1800

Attorneys for Plaintiff
FINJAN, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

SONICWALL, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No.: 5:17-cv-04467-BLF

**DECLARATION OF AARON FRANKEL IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S
SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS FOR *IN*
CAMERA REVIEW RELATING TO APRIL
17, 2020 JOINT DISCOVERY LETTER
BRIEF**

Date: May 4, 2020
Time: N/A
Courtroom: 2, 5th Floor
Judge: Hon. Virginia K. DeMarchi

1 I, Aaron Frankel, declare:

2 1. I am a partner with the law firm Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, counsel of
3 record for Plaintiff Finjan, Inc. (“Finjan”). I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and
4 can testify competently to those facts. I make this declaration pursuant to the Court’s Interim Order
5 Re: April 17, 2020 Joint Discovery Letter Brief (Dkt. No. 255).

6 **I. Submission of Documents For *In Camera* Review**

7 2. As directed by the Court, Finjan is submitting the disputed documents listed on its
8 privilege log (excluding the listed deposition testimony, which is limited to discussing the contents of
9 the other documents) for *in camera* review. As further directed, Finjan is submitting the documents in
10 electronic form (as PDFs) to VKDcrd@cand.uscourts.gov.

11 3. Document Nos. 1-3 were withheld in their entirety. In Document Nos. 4-8, the portions
12 redacted on grounds of privilege and work product are highlighted. Where entire pages of a document
13 are being redacted for privilege and work product, those redactions are indicated with a red box.

14 4. For the Court’s convenience, attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is Finjan’s Consolidated
15 Privilege and Redaction Log for *In Camera* Review (“Consolidated Log”). Finjan removed from the
16 Consolidated Log the entries for documents not submitted to the Court, which include the deposition
17 transcripts and the document for which SonicWall is not challenging Finjan’s assertion of privilege.
18 Finjan also grouped its entries so that there is only one log entry for each unique document (the
19 original log contained multiple entries for documents that were marked as exhibits at multiple
20 depositions).

21 5. In preparing the Consolidated Log, counsel identified a typographical error in Finjan’s
22 original log. The correct date for Document No. 8 on the log is October 8, **2005**, not October 8, **2015**.
23 Other than the deposition transcripts, all of the disputed documents are dated 2005 and 2006.
24 Document No. 3 is undated, but appears from its contents to have been created in 2008.

25 **II. Evidence of Confidential Nature of Disclosure**

26 6. As directed by the Court, Finjan identifies the following evidence from the materials
27 identified in the parties’ joint letter brief as supporting that, during the 2005-2008 timeframe when the
28

1 disputed documents were disclosed to Cisco's board observer, Yoav Samet, there was an agreement
2 and understanding that Cisco and Mr. Samet would maintain the disputed documents as confidential.

3 7. **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of Finjan's 2004 Investors' Rights Agreement, to
4 which Cisco is a signatory, with the relevant portion of the agreement highlighted at page 15.

5 8. **Exhibit 3** is a true and correct excerpt from the April 10, 2019 Deposition of Daniel
6 Chinn, with the relevant portion highlighted at page 242.

7 9. **Exhibit 4** is a true and correct excerpt from the February 1, 2019 Deposition of Yoav
8 Samet, with the relevant portion highlighted at page 214.

9 10. Further evidence of the confidential relationship between Finjan and Cisco can be found
10 in the following documents submitted for *in camera* review, which Finjan labelled as confidential
11 when it provided them to Mr. Samet: Document Nos. 1, 3, 5-8. Finjan added highlighting to these
12 documents to indicate the confidentiality designations. The confidentiality designations in Document
13 Nos. 6 and 7 begin in the footer of each presentation footer on page 2. The other designations are
14 found on the first page of the document.

15
16 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that each of
17 the above statements is true and correct. Executed on May 4, 2020, in Allendale, New Jersey.

18 /s/ Aaron Frankel
19 Aaron Frankel